CLAUDIO BALOSETTI
E-mail: claudio@claudiobalosetti.com

Foundational Document of Elisapiens

Principles 1H1LE – Empàxià – UniEqu

and the Model of the Ten Levels of Global Dominion

This document is the official English version of a foundational text originally published in Italian and previously deposited in certified open-access repositories.

Metadata for Academic Indexing and Open-Access Repositories

Foundational Document of Elisapiens: Principles 1H1LE, Empàxià, UniEqu and the Ten Levels of Global Dominion

Claudio Balosetti

– Document type
Foundational theoretical document

– Disciplinary areas
Social Philosophy, Political Anthropology, Systems Theory, Ethical Economics, Interdisciplinary Social Research

– Keywords
human-centered governance, anthropological paradigm, systemic social theory, post-monetary systems, non-degenerative systems, human action and value, structural constraints, ethical economics, UniEqu, Empàxià, 1H1LE, global power structures

– Language
English

– License
Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–NoDerivatives (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

This document is the official English version of a foundational text originally published in Italian and previously deposited in certified open-access repositories.

Foundational Preamble

This document defines, in a structural and non-merely nominal form, the three principles denominated 1H1LE, Empàxià, and UniEqu, as well as the model of the ten levels of global dominion within which these principles operate transversally and interdependently.

This document serves as a public foundational act, intended to establish unequivocally the conceptual priority, intellectual authorship, and non-exclusive appropriability of the principles herein set forth, independently of the terminology adopted by third parties in any derivative formulations.

The principles defined herein do not constitute a moral doctrine, nor a sectorial political proposal, nor an ideology, but rather a closed set of structural constraints necessary for any human system to remain stable, non-degenerative, and non-appropriable over time.

Article 0 – Framing of the Elisapiens Paradigm

Elisapiens is a universal model of societal governance that transcends traditional political ideologies and coercive economic systems, grounding social organization on the ontological dignity of the human being, on individual and collective responsibility, and on harmony among persons, communities, and resources. The paradigm belongs neither to the political right nor to the left, is neither capitalist nor socialist, but introduces a new grammar of human coexistence oriented toward real equity, social stability, and the conscious evolution of humanity.

The Elisapiens project is a theoretical and applicative paradigm of societal governance situated within the philosophical, anthropological, and systemic domain. It proposes a non-ideological reformulation of the concept of human governance, overcoming the political and economic categories of the twentieth century and grounding social organization on universal principles related to human dignity, shared responsibility, and harmony between individual, collectivity, and resources. The project does not constitute a political movement, a party, or an electoral program, but a conceptual framework intended for study, preservation, and dissemination as a long-term cultural and civic contribution, open to progressive and voluntary adoption by international, sectoral, or national bodies willing to initiate experiments, applications, or implementation pathways consistent with its foundational principles.

Article 1 – Nature of the Principles

The principles 1H1LE, Empàxià, and UniEqu are defined as ontological and operational structural constraints which, acting jointly, prevent the systemic separation between human identity, action, value, and responsibility.

They are neither alternatives to other principles of equal rank nor expandable without loss of coherence, as they constitute an exhaustive set: any human system that intends to avoid the cyclical reconstitution of domination, oligarchy, accumulation, and conflict must necessarily include constraints functionally equivalent to those defined herein.

Article 2 – Implicit Foundation: Humanity

The foundation of the principles is not external to them and does not constitute a fourth autonomous principle.

Concrete, embodied, relational, and responsible humanity is assumed as the internal structuring axis of the three principles.

Any system that operates against the human, or that uses the human as a means rather than as a foundation, is destined to produce instability, domination, or collapse.

The principles defined herein assume the human being not as an object of regulation, but as a subject structurally inseparable from action and from the value generated.

Article 3 – Principle 1H1LE (One Human One Legal Entity)

The principle denominated 1H1LE establishes the constraint of subjective uniqueness, according to which every legally, economically, or systemically relevant action must ultimately be attributable to an identifiable physical human person.

The principle structurally prohibits the fragmentation of human identity into functional entities aimed at:
– separating action from the subject who performs it;
– diluting or transferring responsibility;
– enabling impersonal accumulation of power or rent;
– obscuring the causal link between decision and consequence.

1H1LE does not constitute a moral or punitive principle, but a principle of reality, without which domination becomes anonymous and systemically irresponsible.

Article 4 – Principle Empàxià

The principle denominated Empàxià defines the necessity of a permanent educational process aimed at qualifying human action in terms of relational awareness and understanding of the systemic consequences of one's actions.

Empàxià does not coincide with formal instruction, technical training, ideological indoctrination, or normative moralism.

It establishes that an action can generate value only if:
– it is aware of its impact on others;
– it distinguishes between technical utility and human benefit;
– it is embedded within a relational and systemic understanding.

In the absence of Empàxià, action becomes functional, automatic, and potentially destructive even when it produces technically positive results.

Article 5 – Principle UniEqu

The principle denominated UniEqu defines value as the consequence of harmonious human action, and not as an abstract entity anticipated or independent of real human action.

UniEqu is neither a currency, nor a financial credit, nor an accumulable title.

It represents the registration of value generated by an action that simultaneously satisfies the structural conditions defined in the present document.

Value:
– always arises downstream of action;
– cannot precede, finance, or determine action;
– is not reproducible as rent;
– is not accumulable beyond the life cycle of the action itself;
– is not separable from the subject who generated it.

Article 6 – Definition of UniEqu-Generating Action

An action generates UniEqu exclusively if it jointly satisfies all of the following conditions:
– it is performed by an identifiable human person, in compliance with the principle 1H1LE;
– it is qualified by relational awareness, according to the principle Empàxià;
– it produces a real, verifiable human benefit, not merely a technical one;
– it does not generate direct or indirect systemic harm;
– it is not oriented toward asymmetric value extraction;
– it is not structurally convertible into rent or an instrument of domination.

Included, by way of non-exhaustive example, are actions that care, educate, produce necessary goods, regenerate environments, facilitate relationships, generate useful knowledge, and support the community.

Excluded are actions that produce artificial scarcity, exploitation, speculation, accumulation of power, or domination.

Article 7 – Interdependence and Exhaustiveness of the Principles

The three principles operate exclusively in an interdependent form.

The removal, isolation, or substitution of any one of them results in systemic degeneration.

In particular:
– 1H1LE without Empàxià produces impersonal control;
– Empàxià without UniEqu produces ineffective idealism;
– UniEqu without 1H1LE produces anonymity;
– UniEqu without Empàxià produces automatism.

The set of the three principles therefore constitutes a closed, non-degenerative circuit.

Article 8 – Relation with the Ten Levels of Global Dominion

The principles defined herein operate transversally and simultaneously across the ten levels of global dominion, preventing functional separation between levels and the migration of power from one stratum to another.

No level can constitute itself as an autonomous sovereign center when the three principles operate jointly, since:
– identity is not separable;
– action is qualified;
– value is not appropriable.

Article 9 – Conceptual Priority and Derivation

Any model, system, theory, or architecture that presents a structure functionally equivalent to that defined herein must be considered conceptually derived from the present corpus, independently of the terminology adopted.

The substitution of names does not constitute substantive innovation where the following remain present:
– constraints of subjective uniqueness;
– relational qualification of action;
– definition of value as the non-accumulable consequence of human action.

Article 10 – Authorship and Non-Appropriability

The present document renders public the principles 1H1LE, Empàxià, and UniEqu as an open conceptual foundation, attributing intellectual authorship to the author responsible for its drafting and certified publication.

The principles, as such, are not subject to exclusive patenting.

Any specific technical implementations remain separable and protectable under applicable law.

Declaration of Intellectual Authorship and Non-Appropriability

The undersigned author declares to be the original originator and drafter of the conceptual corpus denominated 1H1LE – Empàxià – UniEqu, as well as of the model of the ten levels of global dominion, as defined in the Foundational Document to which the present declaration is annexed.

The author renders these principles public in order to establish conceptual priority, attribution of authorship, and non-exclusive appropriability, independently of the terminology used in any derivative formulations.

It is expressly declared that:
– the principles as such are not subject to exclusive patenting;
– no third party may claim structural originality through mere terminological variation;
– any technical implementations remain separable and protectable under applicable law;
– any conceptually equivalent use is to be considered derived from the present corpus.

This declaration is issued for the purposes of certified publication, deposit with certain date, and enforceability against third parties.

Declaration of Separation Between Foundational Principles and Implementations

The present document integrates and clarifies the Foundational Document of the principles 1H1LE – Empàxià – UniEqu, explicitly establishing the distinction between foundational principles and any technical or normative implementations.

The principles 1H1LE, Empàxià, and UniEqu are defined as atemporal conceptual constraints, independent of specific technologies, tools, information architectures, physical devices, or contingent legal configurations.

Scope of the Foundational Principles – Scope of Technical and Normative Implementations

Foundational principles:
– define what renders a human system non-degenerative;
– establish the necessary conditions for identity, action, value, and responsibility to remain inseparable;
– do not prescribe how such conditions must be technically or normatively realized.

They do not depend on:
– physical or digital sensors;
– data collection systems;
– blockchain, distributed ledgers, or centralized archives;
– predetermined quantitative limits on legal entities;
– specific software, hardware, or institutional architectures.

Scope of Technical and Normative Implementations

Considered implementations and not constitutive elements of the principles are:
– sensor systems intended to detect actions or parameters;
– mechanisms of registration, traceability, or value certification;
– security technologies, including distributed ledgers or blockchains;
– regulations defining numerical limits or operational conditions for legal entities;
– any technical architecture intended to operationalize the principles in a specific historical context.

Such implementations:
– may vary over time;
– may be replaced or improved;
– may be subject to separate technical protection under applicable law;
– do not alter nor define the essence of the foundational principles.

Non-Confusion Clause

No technical implementation, however coherent with the foundational principles, may be considered equivalent to the principles themselves.

Likewise, the substitution or modification of an implementation does not constitute conceptual innovation of the principles, but merely an executive variation.

Purpose of the Present Declaration

The present declaration is drafted for the purpose of:
– preventing interpretative ambiguities;
– preserving the universal and non-appropriable nature of the principles;

– allowing selective protection of technical implementations;
– guaranteeing legal clarity during phases of deposit, publication, and operational development.

Borgosesia, 07/02/2026

References

1. Arendt, H. (1958), *The Human Condition*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
2. Polanyi, K. (1944), *The Great Transformation*, Beacon Press, Boston, MA.
3. Habermas, J. (1987), *The Theory of Communicative Action*, Vol. 2, Beacon Press, Boston, MA.
4. Luhmann, N. (1995), *Social Systems*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.
5. Beck, U. (1992), *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity*, Sage Publications, London.
6. Illich, I. (1971), *Deschooling Society*, Harper & Row, New York.
7. Jonas, H. (1984), *The Imperative of Responsibility*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
8. Castells, M. (2010), *The Rise of the Network Society*, Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford.
9. Foucault, M. (1977), *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*, Nauka, Moscow.
10. Castells, M. (2010), *The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture*, Higher School of Economics Press, Moscow.
11. Glazyev, S. Yu. (2016), *The Economy of the Future: Does Russia Have a Chance?*, Knizhny Mir, Moscow.
12. Asmolov, A. G. (2014), *Personality Psychology: A Cultural-Historical Understanding of Human Development*, Smysl, Moscow.
13. Panarin, A. S. (2002), *Global Political Forecasting*, Algoritm, Moscow.
14. Moiseev, N. N. (1998), *The Fate of Civilization: The Path of Reason*, Languages of Russian Culture, Moscow.
15. Chestnov, I. L. (2012), *Legal Responsibility: Philosophical and Methodological Issues*, Norma, Moscow.